



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,806	12/21/2001	Rainer Bader	Q67631	4483
7590	01/13/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			HAROLD, JEFFEREY F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2644	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/023,806	BADER, RAINER
	Examiner Jefferey F Harold	Art Unit 2644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2004.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                            2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## **Disposition of Claims**

4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. **Claims 1-10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bullock et al. (United States Patent 6,887,817), hereinafter referenced as Bullock.

Regarding **claim 1**, Bullock discloses a method and system for combining wireless phone jack and RF wireless communications. In addition Bullock discloses a facility for an end customer for generating a connection between a telecommunications network of a network operator and an in-house power supply network of the end customer for rendering possible the transmission of via the in-house power supply network of the end customer, telecommunications signals to be reproduced by the end customer, as disclosed at column 4, line 3 through column 5, line 40 and exhibited in figures 1-5.

Regarding **claim 2**, Bullock discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Bullock discloses wherein the facility comprises a filter for forwarding telecommunications signals and for blocking direct-current signals and the filter is connected between the telecommunications network and the in-house power

supply network, as disclosed at column 4, line 3 through column 5, line 40 and exhibited in figures 1-5.

Regarding **claim 3**, Bullock discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Bullock discloses wherein the facility comprises a filter for blocking telecommunications signals (POTS; ISDN; DSL) and for forwarding alternating-current signals and the filter is connected between the in-house power supply network of the end customer and a power supply network of a power network operator, as disclosed at column 4, line 3 through column 5, line 40 and exhibited in figures 1-5.

Regarding **claim 4**, Bullock discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 2), in addition Bullock discloses wherein the filter is a high-pass filter with a limiting frequency in the range from 50 Hz to 70 Hz, or a bandpass filter with a lower limiting frequency in the range from 50 Hz to 70 Hz., as disclosed at column 4, line 3 through column 5, line 40 and exhibited in figures 1-5.

Regarding **claim 5**, Bullock discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3), in addition Bullock discloses wherein the filter is a high-pass filter with a limiting frequency in the range from 50 Hz to 70 Hz, or a bandpass filter with a lower limiting frequency in the range from 50 Hz to 70 Hz., as disclosed at column 4, line 3 through column 5, line 40 and exhibited in figures 1-5.

Regarding **claim 6**, Bullock discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Bullock discloses wherein the facility is desired as a power meter or fuse box with an interface to the telecommunications network or as a network termination or telecommunications exchange with a telecommunications interface to the

in-house power supply network of the end, as disclosed at column 4, line 3 through column 5, line 40 and exhibited in figures 1-5.

Regarding **claim 7-10**, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claims 1-6.

***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F Harold whose telephone number is 703-306-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Jefferey F. Harold  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2644

  
JFH  
January 7, 2005